Case 2e2:22-02:9229834 NDo Domentent File 107/27/29/29/29 a grade of 14 14 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d			HIS FORM.)	•		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Lisa Goldsmith			DEFENDANTS Dionna Nelson, et al			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Camden County (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
Simon & Simon PC 18 Campus Blvd. Suite 1			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 🕱 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 750 Motor Vehicle □ 160 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	CABOR Control of Property 21 USC 881 Control of Property 21	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from	Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transft Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 II S C section	n 1391(a)(1) and (2) nuse:	<u> </u>			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 07/29/2022		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR Mare Simon				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<i>-</i> 1 -			
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

		ro se plaintiff to indicate the category o				
	Address of Plaintiff: Lisa Goldsmith - 1429 Powell Rd, Brookhaven, PA 19015 Address of Defendant: Dionna Nelson, et al -311 Sloan Avenue, Oaklyn, NJ 08107					
	dress of Defendant:			niladelphia, PA 19143		
Piac	ce of Accident, Incident or Transa	iction:	77.00 0 0 10 2	madoipina, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
REI	LATED CASE, IF ANY:					
	e Number:	Judge:		Date Terminated:		
Civi	il cases are deemed related when Yes	is answered to any of the following	g questions:			
1.	Is this case related to property include previously terminated action in this		ding or within one year	Yes No V		
2.		this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Volume No No No No No No No No No N				
3.		Ooes this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier umbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4.	Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Verification of the same individual?					
this	court except as noted above.	n case is / is not related	to any case now pending or w	vithin one year previously terminated action in		
DAT	TE: 07/29/2022		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	201798		
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
—		Anomey-ui-Et				
CIV	IL: (Place a √ in one category only)	Anomey-uL				
CIV.	TL: (Place a √ in one category only) Federal Question Cases:	Anomey-ar-Le	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca	ises:		
	Federal Question Cases:	ontract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit	ct and Other Contracts Il Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y — Asbestos		
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Co. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cas	ees ARBITRAT	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	ct and Other Contracts Il Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases		
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Co. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cas	ontract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	ct and Other Contracts Il Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases		
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Co. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cas (Please specify): Marc Simon Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53	ontract, and All Other Contracts Sees ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	ct and Other Contracts Il Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases		
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Co. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cas (Please specify): Marc Simon Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to, counsel of record or pro se 3.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my 0 exclusive of interest and costs:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	ct and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases		
A	Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Co. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cas (Please specify): Marc Simon Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 exceed the sum of \$150,000.00	ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to, counsel of record or pro se 3.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my exclusive of interest and costs: nages is sought.	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca 1. Insurance Contrac 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamati 4. Marine Personal I 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify):	ct and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases		

Casa2e22:22-02-02-02-02-03-04 14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
(215)467-4666	(267)639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.	com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
7/29/2022	Marc Simon	Lisa Goldsmith			
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)		
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into transcomplex and that need specified of this form for a detaile	ial or intense management by	()		
d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	se Management Track Designers a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	Reduction Plan of this court, counse partion Form in all civil cases at the times at \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding the, submit to the clerk of court and ser tack Designation Form specifying the good.	me of everse g said eve on		
	Dionna Nelson, et al : NO.				
v. Dianna Malsan	ot ol	NO			
Lisa Goldsn	nith	CIVIL ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Lisa Goldsmith		•	
1429 Powell Rd		•	
Brookhaven, PA 19015		:	#
	Plaintiff		
V.		:	
		:	
		:	
Dionna Nelson		•	
311 Sloan Avenue		:	
Oaklyn, NJ 08107		•	
	And	•	
DoorDash, Inc.		:	
116 New Montgomery St		:	
San Francisco, CA 94105			
	Defendant(s)	•	

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Dionna Nelson, is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Defendant, DoorDash, Inc is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendant, Dionna Nelson, is a citizen of New Jersey, and the Defendant, DoorDash, Inc, upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in California and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 5. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

FACTS

6. On or about January 3, 2021, at or about 11:30AM, Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, was the passenger of a motor vehicle, which was traveling at or near the intersection of Baltimore Avenue and South 48th Street in Philadelphia, PA 19143.

- 7. At or about the same date and time, Defendant, Dionna Nelson, was the operator and owner of a motor vehicle, which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 8. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Dionna Nelson, was operating the aforesaid Defendant, DoorDash, Inc's, vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of it's agency.
- 10. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently, and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to strike Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 11. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.
- 12. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, neck, back, shoulders, as are more fully set forth below.

COUNT I Lisa Goldsmith v. Dionna Nelson Negligence

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 14. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the direct cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Striking the vehicle of which the Plaintiff was passenger, while running a red light;

- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike
 Plaintiff's vehicle without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or
 others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;

- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 15. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, neck, back, shoulders, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 16. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 17. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

- 18. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 19. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 20. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, prays for judgment in plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Dionna Nelson, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II Lisa Goldsmith v. DoorDash, Inc Negligent Entrustment

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 22. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Permitting Defendant, Dionna Nelson, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;

- b. Permitting Defendant, Dionna Nelson, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, DoorDash, Inc., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Dionna Nelson, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
- c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, DoorDash, Inc, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Dionna Nelson's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Dionna Nelson.
- 23. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, neck, back, shoulders, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 25. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

- As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 27. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, DoorDash, Inc, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III Lisa Goldsmith v. DoorDash, Inc Respondeat Superior

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 29. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, DoorDash, Inc, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Dionna Nelson, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Striking the vehicle of which the Plaintiff was passenger, while running a red light;
 - a. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;

- b. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- c. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike
 Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- d. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- e. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- f. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- g. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- h. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- i. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- j. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- 1. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- o. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a rear-end collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;

- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 30. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, neck, back, shoulders, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 31. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 32. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

33. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and

may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's

further loss and detriment.

34. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,

Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an

amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the

Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as

amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Lisa Goldsmith, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and

against Defendant, DoorDash, Inc, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand

(\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

Marc Simon | Squire BY: